EXHIBIT 49

REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1566-33 Filed 09/14/17 Page 2 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                     SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
 5
     WAYMO LLC,
 6
                    Plaintiff,
                                             Case No.
        VS.
 7
                                             17-cv-00939-WHA
     UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
     OTTOMOTTO, LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC, )
 8
 9
                   Defendants.
10
11
           HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
12
13
14
                   UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER
15
                    VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
16
                         GAETAN PENNECOT
17
                    San Francisco, California
18
                    Thursday, August 9, 2017
                            Volume IV
19
20
2.1
22
     Reported by:
     MARY J. GOFF
23
     CSR No. 13427
24
     Job No. 2675906
     PAGES 479 - 763
25
                                                   Page 479
```

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1566-33 Filed 09/14/17 Page 3 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                     SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4
5
     WAYMO LLC,
                                          )
6
 7
                    Plaintiff,
                                             Case No.
        VS.
8
                                             17-cv-00939-WHA
     UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
9
     OTTOMOTTO, LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC, )
                   Defendants.
10
11
12
13
           Highly Confidential Videotaped Deposition of
     GAETAN PENNECOT, Volume IV, taken on behalf of
14
     Plaintiff Waymo LLC, at Quinn Emanuel Urguhart &
15
     Sullivan, LLP, 50 California Street, 22nd Floor, San
16
17
     Francisco, California 94111m, beginning at
     9:46 a.m. and ending at 6:52 p.m., on August 9,
18
19
     2017, before MARY GOFF, Certified Shorthand Reporter
     No. 13427.
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                   Page 480
```

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1566-33 Filed 09/14/17 Page 4 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1			01:59:09	
2	Q	What was he referring to?	01:59:11	
3	А	I think the valuation of Chauffeur.	01:59:17	
4	Q	Do you know what he meant by		
			01:59:21	
6	А	I don't know.	01:59:23	
7	Q	Did you respond to his text message?	01:59:24	
8	А	I didn't.	01:59:26	
9	Q	Did you talk to him about this?	01:59:27	
10	А	I may have.	01:59:29	
11	Q	And what did you talk about?	01:59:31	
12	А	I think I talked about fact that I	01:59:34	
13	received	01:59:37		
14	Q	Do you have an idea of what	01:59:50	
15	Mr. Levandowski is referring to here? 01:59:51			
16	А	I don't know.	01:59:55	
17	Q	Do you have any understanding what he's	01:59:57	
18	talking about in Exhibit 537? 01:59:58			
19	А	I think he's talking about the valuation	02:00:01	
20	of Chaufi	02:00:03		
21	Q	And what was going on with the valuation	02:00:04	
22	of Chaufi	02:00:07		
23	А	I have no clue.	02:00:09	
24	Q	So what's your basis to say well, okay.	02:00:10	
25		After he sent this text message	02:00:16	
			Page 614	

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1566-33 Filed 09/14/17 Page 5 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	A Yeah.	02:00:19			
2	Q did you call him?	02:00:19			
3	A I don't think so. I	02:00:24			
4	Q And you didn't respond?	02:00:26			
5	A So Anthony at that time was in the in	02:00:29			
6	the office, so I probably waited until he stopped	02:00:30			
7	by.	02:00:35			
8	Q And what did you say?	02:00:36			
9	A I don't remember.	02:00:38			
10	Q What did he say?	02:00:39			
11	A I don't remember. I think I I	02:00:41			
12	express so what probably triggered that text was	02:00:49			
13	that I was very concerned at some point that I was	02:00:52			
14	not going to get my bonus. Because my understanding	02:00:55			
15	of the plan was that it was depending on the 02:01:00				
16	valuation.	02:01:04			
17	And I and the valuation				
	And I probably	02:01:11			
20	expressed my concerns to Anthony at this point,	02:01:14			
21	because I didn't have any understanding of the	02:01:16			
22	Chauffeur plan. And I thought Anthony had a better	02:01:20			
23	understanding than I did.	02:01:24			
24	Q So wait. Your understanding was that your	02:01:27			
25	bonus six months after you left would be based on	02:01:29			
		Page 615			

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1566-33 Filed 09/14/17 Page 6 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

some subse	equent valuation?	02:01:32		
А	Yes. So	02:01:35		
Q	Okay. Is that is that still your	02:01:35		
belief too	day?	02:01:39		
А	This is my belief.	02:01:41		
Q	But when you chose to leave, I believe you	02:01:43		
testified	in one of your previous depositions that	02:01:53		
you chose	to leave because you thought			
	<mark>:</mark>	02:01:59		
А	So exactly. So like,			
		02:02:11		
	Like, I had other concerns that were	02:02:12		
bigger tha	an that. But that was another way it was	02:02:15		
indicating to making me leave. 02:02:18				
	Let's say			
	Do you see what I mean? So	02:02:39		
Q	Okay.	02:02:46		
А				
		02:02:53		
		Page 616		
	A Q belief too A Q testified you chose A bigger that indicating	Q Okay. Is that is that still your belief today? A This is my belief. Q But when you chose to leave, I believe you testified in one of your previous depositions that you chose to leave because you thought P A So exactly. So like, Like, I had other concerns that were bigger than that. But that was another way it was indicating to making me leave. Let's say Do you see what I mean? So Q Okay.		

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1566-33 Filed 09/14/17 Page 7 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

I, MARY J. GOFF, CSR No. 13427, Certified 1 2 Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, 3 certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken 4 before me at the time and place herein set forth, at 5 which time the witness declared under penalty of perjury; that the testimony of the witness and all 6 objections made at the time of the examination were 7 recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter 8 transcribed under my direction and supervision; that 9 the foregoing is a full, true, and correct 10 transcript of my shorthand notes so taken and of the testimony so given; 11 That before completion of the deposition, 12 review of the transcript () was (XX) was not 13 14 requested: () that the witness has failed or refused to approve the transcript. I further certify that I am not financially 15 interested in the action, and I am not a relative or 16 employee of any attorney of the parties, nor of any 17 of the parties. 18 I declare under penalty of perjury under the 19 laws of California that the foregoing is true and 20 21 correct, dated this 11th day of August 2017. 22 2.3 24 MARY J. GOFF, CSR No. 13427 2.5